

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/762,619 | 02/09/2001 | Tom L. Young | 10522/38 | 6551 |
| 75 | 590 09/26/2002 | | | |
| Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione | | | EXAMINER | |
| PO Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610 | | | LITHGOW, THOMAS M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1724 | (/ |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002 | : <i>F</i> |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 16-6 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/762,619 | YOUNG ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thomas M. Lithgow | 1724 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NETHS from the mailing date of this communication. NEANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| Za) This dollar is a second of the secon | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims | llowance except for formal m nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the applic | ation. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are with | drawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | | | |
| | 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | 0.440(-) (-) | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | | Anatharation No. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | je provisional application has | been received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N | 18) 5) Notice | of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | fice Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 4 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/762,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-24, drawn to a flotation method.

Group II, claim(s) 25-31, drawn to a flotation collector.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The inventions listed as groups I and II share a special technical feature of either a natural oil or essential oil in a flotation process or composition. This special feature is shown by Greenway(US 1064723) to known in the art and thus not define a contribution over the prior art .
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/762,619

Art Unit: 1724

because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A) Natural Oil
- B) Synthesized Oil
- C) Essential Oil

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/762,619

Art Unit: 1724

- 4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
- A) claims 6-7, 14-18 and 22
- B) claims 6-7 and 21-22
- C) claims 4-5, 14, 15, 19-20 and 29

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-3, 8-13, 23-28 and 30-31.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The are different oils having different physical properties.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow whose

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/762,619

Art Unit: 1724

telephone number is 703-308-0173. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. -Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Simmons can be reached on 703-308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Thomas M. Lithgow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

tml September 24, 2002